

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Ratent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box § 450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/998,848	11/15/2001	Kenneth Y. Ogami	CYPR-CD01177M	6884	
7590 09/07/2005 WAGNER, MURABITO & HAO LLP Two North Market Street, Third Floor San Jose, CA 95113			EXAMINER		
			VO, TED T		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Sun rose, Orr	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		2192		
			DATE MAILED: 09/07/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/998,848	OGAMI, KENNETH Y.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Ted T. Vo	2192	

	red 1. Vo	2192					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence	address				
THE REPLY FILED 18 August 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	PPLICATION IN CONDIT	ION FOR ALLOWANCE.					
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:							
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing d b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advi	isory Action, or (2) the date se						
event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706,07(f)	ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHE	•					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened sta above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	which the petition under 37 CF nd the corresponding amount tutory period for reply onginally	of the fee. The appropriate ex set in the final Office action;	dension fee under 37 or (2) as set forth in (b)				
NOTICE OF APPEAL							
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in composition of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any e Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	xtension thereof (37 CFR	41.37(e)), to avoid dismi	ssal of the appeal.				
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,  (a) They raise new issues that would require further co  (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	nsideration and/or search w);	(see NOTE below);					
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in befappeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a			itying the issues for				
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		, ,					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.15. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s	21. See attached Notice	of Non-Compliant Amend	ment (PTOL-324).				
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	llowable if submitted in a	separate, timely filed am	endment canceling				
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-14 and 16-35. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		b)  will be entered an	d an explanation of				
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>							
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar</li> <li>The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation</li> </ol>	overcome <u>all</u> rejections un y and was not earlier pres	der appeal and/or appella ented. See 37 CFR 41.3	ant fails to provide a 33(d)(1).				
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		,					
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	it does NOT place the app	lication in condition for a	llowance because:				
<ul><li>12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).</li><li>13. ☑ Other: See Continuation Sheet.</li></ul>	•						
		TEDTI VO	'mo				
		LEDTI VO	> ,				

## Continuation of 13. Other:

Applicants submitted an affidavit/declaration under 1.132; this submission is entered. However, the submission is insufficient to overcome the rejection presenting in the prior final action. Furthermore, the Tutorial Revision 1.0 (Note: Tutorial Revision 1.0 has been the prior art of record since the first non final action) used in the final rejection, simply admits a feature of the Claim (used in the claim: "automatically constructing source code comprising configuration information" belonging to "PSoC Designer". The "PSoC Designer" is known more than a year before the effective filing date of this application (See Final Action, page 2), which is statutory bar. The "PSoC include the claimed feature, but not discussed by Bindra (See Final office action, Page 3)